#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY FEB 10 2006

To:

FULLER, Grover, F., Jr. Pfizer Inc. 201 Tabor Road Morris Plains, NJ 07950 **ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE**  MOPS IP GLBL SRVS PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

07.02.2006

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PC25353A

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003806

International filing date (day/month/year) 22.11.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

03.12.2003

**Applicant** 

PFIZER PRODUCTS INC. et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

**Authorized Officer** 

Wallentin, M

Tel. +31 70 340-3991



### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PC25353A				FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/IB2004/003806				International filing date 22.11.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.12.2003			
	international Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K39/106, A61P31/04								
Applicant PFIZER PRODUCTS INC. et al.									
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2.	This f	REPORT o	onsists of a total o	f 6 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.				
3.	This r	eport is als	o accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:				
	a. 🗆	sent to th	e applicant and to	the International Bure	au) a total of sheets,	as follows:			
		sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this repo and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				amended and are the basis of this report see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the			
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.								
	b. 🗀	sequence	listing and/or tabl	ureau only) a total of (in es related thereto, in of Listing (see Section 80	omputer readable form	per of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a n only, as indicated in the Supplemental planstructions).			
4.	This r	eport conta	ins indications rel	ating to the following it	ems:				
	⊠ Bo	x No. I	Basis of the opin	ion					
	□во	x No. II	Priority						
	⊠ Bo	x No. III	Non-establishme	nt of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability			
	□ Bc	x No. IV	Lack of unity of in	nvention					
	⊠во	x No. V	Reasoned staten applicability; cital	nent under Article 35(2 tions and explanations	) with regard to novelt supporting such state	y, inventive step or Industrial ment			
	☐ Bo	x No. VI	Certain documen	ts cited					
	☐ Bo	x No. VII	Certain defects in	n the international app	ication				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand					Date of completion of the	nis report			
17.0	2.2005	5			07.02.2006				
		kamining au	•		Authorized Officer	And the same of th			
	lie		Patent Office - P.B. 5 V Rijswijk - Pays Ba		Rankin, R				
			) 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 6 ) 340 - 3016	51 epo ni	Tolonhoon No. 121 70				

# 10/578162 IAP12 Rec'd PCT/PT0 04 MAY 2006 International application No. PCT/B2004/003806

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT **ON PATENTABILITY**

_									
_	Box No.	I Basis of the report							
1.	With regard	ard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was							
	which in the property of the p	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, sh is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: International search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) International search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) International of the international application (under Rule 12.4) International preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)							
2.	have bee	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):							
	Descripti	on, Pages							
	1-8	as originally filed							
	Claims, N	umbers ·							
	1-18	as originally filed							
	□ a sec	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing							
3.		amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
	☐ th	ne description, pages ne claims, Nos.							
		ne drawings, sheets/figs ne sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :							
		ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):							
4.	had not b	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).							
	th th	e description, pages e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/ligs e sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :							
		tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked sourcessed so							

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003806

		k No. III Non-establishment o Dicability	of op	inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
1.		ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application,					
	×	claims Nos. 1-18 (Partially)	ims Nos. 1-18 (Partially)				
because:							
	×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-18 (for reason of industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet						
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.					
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
		the written form		has not been furnished			
				does not comply with the standard			
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
				does not comply with the standard			
the tables related to the nucleotide a not comply with the technical require			ide a quire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
		See separate sheet for further details					

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003806

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-18

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III

# Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

3 Claims 1-18 relate to subject matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT)

#### Re Item V

# Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

5.1 For the assessment of the present claims 1-18 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist within the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as industrially applicable the subject matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

#### 5.2 Cited Documents

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: NOOR S M ET AL: "In ovo oral vaccination with Campylobacter jejuni establishes early development of intestinal immunity in chickens" BRITISH POULTRY SCIENCE, vol. 36, no. 4, 1995, pages 563-573, XP008042792 ISSN: 0007-1668
- D2: ZIPRIN R L ET AL: "Effect of mutations in Campylobacter jejuni genes on cecal colonization, and liver invasion, when given in ovo or on day-of-hatch" POULTRY SCIENCE, vol. 78, no. SUPPL. 1, 1999, page 39, XP008042793 & EIGHTY-EIGHTH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE POULTRY SCIENCE ASSOCIATION, INC.; SPRINGDALE, ARKANSAS, USA; AUGUST 8-11, 1999 ISSN: 0032-5791

#### 5.3 Novelty

The subject matter of claims 1-18 is novel with regard to the prior art (Article 33(2) PCT) for the following reasons:

5.4 D1 discloses in ovo oral vaccination of chicken eggs with Campylobacter jejuni

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/003806

vaccine which is heat inactivated.

5.6 D2 discloses the in ovo administration of various live strains of Campylobacter jejuni and assess their ability to colonise the cecas and livers of the recipient chicks.

#### 5.7 Inventive Step

Claims 1-18 are not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

- 5.8 D1 is the closest prior art and discloses in ovo oral vaccination of chicken eggs with Campylobacter jejuni vaccine which is heat inactivated (cf the entire document).
- 5.9 The difference between claim 1 and D1 is that the vaccine of claim 1 contains a live strain of Campylobacter.
- 5.10 The problem to be solved may therefore be considered as being to provide an alternate in ovo campylobacter vaccine.
- 5.11 The solution provided by claim 1 is to vaccinate poultry in ovo using live campylobacter cells. This solution, however, cannot be considered inventive. In ovo vaccination against campylobacter is known from D1 and since D2 discloses the delivery of attenuated campylobacter strains in ovo, the skilled person would therefore have considered it obvious in light of the prior art to attempt to use the campylobacter strains of D2 in the production of a campylobacter vaccine for in ovo use. Claim 1 is therefore not considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 5.12 Dependent claims 2-18 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step as the subject matter of these claims represent obvious choices to the skilled person (Article 33(3) PCT).